

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

in re the Application of:

Miika Silfverberg et al.

09/944,165 Serial No.:

Filed:

September 4, 2001

For:

ZOOMING AND PANNING

CONTENT ON A DISPLAY SCREEN

004770.00018 Atty. Docket No.:

Group Art Unit:

2673

Examiner:

Shapiro, Leonid

Confirmation No.:

9859

## DECLARATION UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.131

RECEIVED

JUL 2 9 2004

The Honorable Assistant Commissioner for Patents Washington, D.C. 20231

Technology Center 2600

We, Miika Silfverberg (Finnish), Panu Korhonen (Finnish), and Ian Scott MacKenzie Sit: (Canadian), hereby declare that:

- We are the joint inventors of the above-captioned application; 1)
- Prior to March 30, 2001, the filing date of U.S. Patent Application Publication US 2) 2002/0140680 A1 (hereinafter "Lu"), we conceived of the invention recited in claims 1-27 of the above-captioned application, at least to the extent the claims are allegedly taught by Lu.
- We prepared a disclosure document (copy attached hereto as Exhibit A) of an 3) embodiment of the invention.
- The dates redacted from Exhibit A are prior to March 30, 2001. 4)
- We submitted our invention report registration to the Internal Patent Committee 5) of our employer, Nokia Corp., prior to March 30, 2001. A copy of the registration confirmation is attached hereto as Exhibit B.
- The date redacted from Exhibit B is prior to March 30, 2001. 6)

Serial No. 09/944,165

-2-

Atty. Dkt. No. 004770.00018

- 7) The Internal Patent Committee evaluates and processes received invention reports on a first come-first serve basis. After receiving an invention report, the Internal Patent Committee performs a patent search for relevant prior art in order to facilitate the patent filing decision. If a decision is made to proceed with the preparation of a patent application based on the invention report, the invention is assigned a rating from 0 to 5 based on the potential value of a resulting patent, and an instruction letter is sent to an outside counsel, with the invention report, requesting preparation of a patent application for the invention.
  - The invention report attached as Exhibit A was sent to our patent attorney, Mr. Bradley C. Wright of the law firm Banner & Witcoff, Ltd., on June 1, 2001, as evidenced by the email communication attached as Exhibit C.
  - 9) On June 29, 2001, Ross Dannenberg (also an attorney with Banner & Witcoff, Ltd.) sent a draft of the above-captioned patent application to our employer for our review. A copy of the email communicating the draft is attached as Exhibit D.
  - 10) On August 27, 2001 Ross-Dannenberg sent a revised draft of the above-captioned patent application. A copy of the email communicating the revised draft is attached as Exhibit E.
  - 11) On September 4, 2001, the above-captioned patent application was filed in the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office.
  - 12) The submission of the invention disclosure (Exhibit A) to our Internal Patent

    Committee, the registration of our invention report (Exhibit B) with the

    Committee and the processing of the invention report through the Internal Patent

Serial No. 09/944,165

-3-

Atty. Dkt. No. 004770,00018

Committee's patent filing system demonstrate diligence from before March 30, 2001 until the filing of the above-captioned patent application and the constructive reduction to practice of our invention.

- 13) All acts referred to in this Declaration were performed either in the United States, or in a WTO member country.
- 14) The attached Exhibits have not been altered since they were originally submitted to the Patent Committee or otherwise prepared or communicated and any marginalia on the exhibits was contemporaneously written upon receipt of the exhibit in question; and
- America that statements made herein of our own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issuing thereon.

Respectfully submitted,  Milka Silfverberg  Pannlan	June 11, 2004  Date  June 14, 2004  Date
Panu Korhonen  Ian Scott MacKenzie	Date

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re the Application of:

Atty. Docket No.:

004770.00018

Miika Silfverberg et al.

Serial No.: 09/9

09/944,165 Group Art Unit:

Examiner:

Shapiro, Leonid

Filed:

September 4, 2001

Confirmation No.:

9859

2673

For:

ZOOMING AND PANNING

CONTENT ON A DISPLAY SCREEN

## **DECLARATION UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.131**

The Honorable Assistant Commissioner for Patents Washington, D.C. 20231.....

Sir:

We, Miika Silfverberg (Finnish), Panu Korhonen (Finnish), and Ian Scott MacKenzie (Canadian), hereby declare that:

- 1) We are the joint inventors of the above-captioned application;
- Prior to March 30, 2001, the filing date of U.S. Patent Application Publication US 2002/0140680 A1 (hereinafter "Lu"), we conceived of the invention recited in claims 1-27 of the above-captioned application, at least to the extent the claims are allegedly taught by Lu.
- We prepared a disclosure document (copy attached hereto as Exhibit A) of an embediment of the invention.
- 4) The dates redacted from Exhibit A are prior to March 30, 2001.
- We submitted our invention report registration to the Internal Patent Committee of our employer, Nokia Corp., prior to March 30, 2001. A copy of the registration confirmation is attached hereto as Exhibit B.
- 6) The date redacted from Exhibit B is prior to March 30, 2001.

Atty. Dkt. No. 004770.00018

**2006** 

Serial No. 09/944,165

7) The Internal Patent Committee evaluates and processes received invention reports on a first come-first serve basis. After receiving an invention report, the Internal Patent Committee performs a patent search for relevant prior art in order to facilitate the patent filing decision. If a decision is made to proceed with the preparation of a patent application based on the invention report, the invention is assigned a rating from 0 to 5 based on the potential value of a resulting patent, and an instruction letter is sent to an outside counsel, with the invention report, requesting preparation of a patent application for the invention.

-2-

- 8) The invention report attached as Exhibit A was sent to our patent attorney, Mr. Bradley C. Wright of the law firm Banner & Witcoff, Ltd., on June 1, 2001, as evidenced by the email communication attached as Exhibit C.
- 9) On June 29, 2001, Ross Dannenberg (also an attorney with Banner & Witcoff, Ltd.) sent a draft of the above-captioned patent application to our employer for our review. A copy of the email communicating the draft is attached as Exhibit D.
- On August 27, 2001 Ross Dannenberg sent a revised draft of the above-captioned patent application. A copy of the email communicating the revised draft is attached as Exhibit E.
- On September 4, 2001, the above-captioned patent application was filed in the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office.
- The submission of the invention disclosure (Exhibit A) to our Internal Patent Committee, the registration of our invention report (Exhibit B) with the Committee and the processing of the invention report through the Internal Patent

**2**007

Serial No. 09/944,165

-3-

Committee's patent filing system demonstrate diligence from before March 30, 2001 until the filing of the above-captioned patent application and the constructive reduction to practice of our invention.

- 13) All acts referred to in this Declaration were performed either in the United States, or in a WTO member country.
- The attached Exhibits have not been altered since they were originally submitted to the Patent Committee or otherwise prepared or communicated and any marginalia on the exhibits was contemperaneously written upon receipt of the exhibit in question; and
- America that statements made herein of our own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issuing thereon.

Respectfully submitted,	
Miika Silfverberg	Date
Panu Kofhonen	Date
an Jost Jackenzie	June 17, 2004